SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK FROM TE RŌPŪ TŪHONO ON ROUND 3 HUI – NGĀPUHI PROPOSAL ON EVOLVED MANDATE AND NEGOTIATION STRUCTURE

Te Rōpū Tūhono

Te Rōpū Tūhono is Hone Saddler, Raniera Tau (Tūhoronuku Independent Mandate Authority – TIMA), Pita Tipene, Rudy Taylor (Te Kotahitanga o Ngāpuhi – TKN) and the Hon Andrew Little (Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations – MfTOWN). They are supported by their Technical Advisors: Willie Te Aho (TIMA), Jason Pou (TKN) and David Tapsell (MfTOWN).

Round 3 Hui

From 12 October to 22 October 2018, Te Rōpū Tūhono held hui at the following places and times to discuss and seek feedback from ngā uri o ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi on an evolved mandate and negotiation structure for Ngāpuhi.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date:</th>
<th>Venue:</th>
<th>Time:</th>
<th>Approximate attendance:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12/10/18</td>
<td>Whāngarei – Ōtangarei Marae</td>
<td>5:00 - 7:30pm</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/10/18</td>
<td>Mangakāhia – Te Aroha Marae</td>
<td>8:30 – 11:00am</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/10/18</td>
<td>Hokianga – Copthorne Hotel</td>
<td>1:00 – 3:00pm</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13/10/18</td>
<td>Kaikohe, Te Waimate, Taimai – Kerikeri RSA</td>
<td>5:00pm – 7:00pm</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/10/18</td>
<td>Whangaroa – Whangaroa College</td>
<td>8:30 – 10:30am</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/10/18</td>
<td>Te Pēwhairangi – Oromāhoe Marae</td>
<td>11:00am – 1:30pm</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/10/18</td>
<td>South Auckland – Manukau Sports Bowl</td>
<td>8:00 – 10:00am</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/10/18</td>
<td>West Auckland – Hoani Waititi Marae</td>
<td>11:00am – 1:30pm</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15/10/18</td>
<td>Hamilton – Hamilton Airport Conference Centre</td>
<td>4:45 – 6:45pm</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/10/18</td>
<td>Wellington – Wharewaka</td>
<td>5:00 – 7:30pm</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10/18</td>
<td>Invercargill – Kelvin Hotel</td>
<td>12:30 – 3:30pm</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17/10/18</td>
<td>Christchurch – Sudima Hotel</td>
<td>6:00-8:30pm</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
At each Round 3 Hui the Technical Advisors gave a presentation (the handouts that were distributed at the Round 3 Hui and which spoke to the presentation can be found at – https://www.govt.nz/assets/Documents/OTS/Round-2-updated-presentation.pdf). Feedback on the proposal was received from hapū, representative groups and uri of Ngāpuhi. This feedback took a variety of forms including presentations, discussions, and questions/answers. Office of Treaty Settlements (OTS) and Te Puni Kōkiri (TPK) staff attended all Round 3 Hui and have summarised the feedback as follows.

Collation of feedback disclaimer

Feedback received outside of the Round 3 Hui (feedback sent to Ministers, OTS, or the Ngāpuhi feedback email address – ngapuhifeedback@justice.govt.nz) and feedback received from hapū hui that were attended by the technical advisors and OTS are not included in this summary of feedback.

Feedback does not account for the opportunities one had to speak, the number of times a speaker spoke to an issue across different hui, and who the speakers were representing. Where a speaker provided views on several different issues, those views have been recorded against each issue. An approximate figure has been placed in brackets alongside each issue raised to indicate the number of people speaking to an issue.

Feedback on the proposal generally:

- **Some expressed support for the proposal** for reasons including:
  - concerns over the delays to date; (4)
  - the need to do something now to move forward, however noting that the structure/process needs to be correct; (9)
  - confidence in Ngāpuhi leadership and/or Te Rōpū Tūhono to find a way forward and to work through the detail; (4)

- **Some outright rejected proposal:** (13)

- **Some expressed conditional support subject to the provision of more detail** such as:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Time</th>
<th>Attendees</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>19/10/18</td>
<td>Sydney – Te Wairua Tapu Wharekarakia</td>
<td>6:00 – 8:00pm</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20/10/18</td>
<td>Brisbane – Wynnum Manly Leagues Club</td>
<td>3:30 – 5:30pm</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21/10/18</td>
<td>Perth – Ken Jackman Hall, Darius Wells Library</td>
<td>3:00 – 5:00pm</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22/10/18</td>
<td>Melbourne – Melbourne Hallam Recreation Reserve Hall, Hallam</td>
<td>7:00 – 9:00am</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>569</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
how hapū kaikōrero will be appointed in the future; (2)
more detail on how the kuia/kaumātua advisory board will work; (4)
more detail on how the taura here advisory board will work; (9)
how hapū rangatiratanga can be achieved; (8)
ability to discuss he whakaputanga during negotiations; (7)
how the mandated authority represents Ngāpuhi in the urban areas; (6)
clarification of Te Rōpū Tūhono’s role; (2)
how land that was wrongly taken can be returned when settlements only provide for financial compensation; (3)
clarity on the repercussions of withdrawal and shared interests between hapū; (8)
clarity on Ngāpuhi population spread in the 6 regions; (4)

• **Some expressed concern with the process** for reasons including:
  a failure to have women on Te Rōpū Tūhono or the Technical Advisors group; (3)
  Crown imposed nature of the process; (6)
  lack of legal advice available to hapū; (2)
  lack of notification or incorrect notification;
  inconsistent presentation by Te Rōpū Tūhono;
  hui at inconvenient times or locations; (3)
  lack of time to consider revised proposal; (4)
  no time or process for hui-ā-hapū to discuss the proposal;
  resourcing for hapū to attend and participate: (4)

• **Some raised concern about the proposal** for reasons including:
  the need for hapū to control the process or have their own settlement; (6)
  the submitters’ view of He Whakaputanga, Te Tiriti o Waitangi and that hapū did not cede sovereignty; (8)
  the lack of authority of Parliament/Government/Minister to engage; (4)
  hapū tikanga; (1)
  hapū rangatiratanga; (12)
  the failure of TIMA to adopt Maranga Mai; (3)
  a distrust/dislike of TRT leadership; (5)
  constitutional change must occur first; (6)
  the need for the Waitangi Tribunals Stage 2 report findings to be released; (4)
Feedback on the proposal to have 6 Rohe Negotiation Bodies (RNBs):

- **Some expressed support** for the 6 RNBs; (5)
- **Some raised issues** around resourcing and capacity building for RNBs; (12)
- **Some raised concern** about how the RNBs will operate; (7)
  - The number of negotiators, management of initial tranche of funding, executive committee.

Feedback on the options for kuia and kaumātua representation:

- **Some supported the status quo** of two over 55 kuia/kaumātua representatives being elected to the Rohe Negotiation Bodies and/or Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority; (3)
- **Some opposed** any kuia and kaumātua representation; (1)
- **Some expressed concern** with the definition of kuia/kaumātua; (4)
- **Some rejected** the proposed kuia/kaumātua advisory board; (3)

Feedback on the options for Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representation on the Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority:

- **Some expressed outright rejection of non-hapū Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representatives** for reasons including:
  - rejection of the idea that some Ngāpuhi don't know their hapū;
  - rohe/taiwhenua/hapū should take care of their hapū members irrespective of where they reside.
- **Some expressed support for options for Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representatives on the Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority**; (4)
  - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe appointing their own representatives as per the status quo; (4)
  - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe appointing their own representatives but having more representatives than the status quo; (2)
  - rangatahi representation;
  - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe urban representatives appointed through the hapū kaikōrero process or RNBs only.
- **Some expressed support for the taura here advisory board**; (6)
  - Some expressed support for the taura here advisory boards subject to the number of representatives on Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority; (6)
• Some rejected the taura here advisory board; (3)

Feedback on the options for Rūnanga representation on the Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority:

• Some expressed outright rejection of the Rūnanga as a representative for reasons including;
  o the lack of accountability of the Rūnanga, therefore they do not support the Rūnanga having a seat on the Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority; (4)

• Some expressed broad support for the Rūnanga as a representative on the Mandated Ngāpuhi Authority; (2)

Feedback on the withdrawal mechanism:

• Some expressed concern with the withdrawal mechanism due to:
  o the mechanism being used as a tool to convince Ngāpuhi to vote in favour of the proposal; (2)
  o the mechanism diminishes hapū rangatiratanga as it is a Crown driven process; (4)
  o concern about withdrawal and overlapping interests with those who remain in the mandate; (12)

Feedback on the voting process:

• Some expressed support for the vote; (8)
• Some expressed concern with the timing of the vote; (5)
• Some questioned the process if the threshold isn’t met; (6)
• Some expressed concern with the threshold; (4)
  o hapū vote should be enough; (2)

• Some expressed concern with the whakapapa verification committee (WVC) for the following reasons: (5)
  o disagree with the WVC;
  o the make-up of the WVC being kuia/kaumatua from each region;
  o special vote, which leads to whakapapa being verified.