

SUMMARY OF FEEDBACK FROM TE RŌPŪ TŪHONO ON ROUND 2 HUI – NGĀPUHI PROPOSAL ON EVOLVED MANDATE AND NEGOTIATION STRUCTURE

Te Rōpū Tūhono

Te Rōpū Tūhono is Hone Saddler, Raniera Tau (Tūhoronuku Independent Mandate Authority – *TIMA*), Pita Tipene, Rudy Taylor (Te Kōtahitanga o Ngāpuhi – *TKN*) and the Hon Andrew Little (Minister for Treaty of Waitangi Negotiations – *MfTOWN*). They are supported by their Technical Advisors: Willie Te Aho (*TIMA*), Jason Pou (*TKN*) and David Tapsell (*MfTOWN*).

Round 2 Hui

From 16 September to 23 September 2018, Te Rōpū Tūhono held hui at the following places and times to discuss and seek feedback from ngā uri o ngā hapū o Ngāpuhi on an evolved mandate and negotiation structure for Ngāpuhi.

<u>Date:</u>	<u>Venue:</u>	<u>Time:</u>	<u>Approximate attendance number:</u>
16/09/18	Whāngarei – Terenga Paraoa Marae	8:30-10:30am	60
16/09/18	Mangakāhia – Te Tārai o Rāhiri Marae	12:00-2:00pm	30
16/09/18	Omapere – Copthorne Hotel	4:30-6:30pm	65
17/09/18	Kaikohe – Kohewhata Marae	8:30-10:30am	65
17/09/18	Kaeo – Whangaroa Rugby Club	1:00-3:00pm	40
17/09/18	Waitangi – Copthorne Hotel	5:30-7:30pm	50
19/09/18	Hamilton – Airport Conference Centre	8:00-10:00am	25
19/09/18	Auckland – Te Piringātahi Marae	12:00-2:00pm	70
19/09/18	South Auckland	4:30-6:30pm	30
20/09/18	Wellington – Wharewaka	8:00-10:00am	16
20/09/18	Invercargill – Kelvin Hotel	1:45-3:45pm	7
20/09/18	Christchurch – Sudima Hotel	6:30-8:30pm	37

22/09/18	Sydney – Te Wairua Tapu Wharekarakia	12:00-200pm	90
22/09/18	Brisbane – Pullman Brisbane Airport	6:30-8:30pm	40
23/09/18	Perth – Ken Jackman Hall, Darius Wells Library	2:30-4:30pm	30

At each Round 2 Hui the Technical Advisors gave a presentation (the handout that was distributed at the Round 2 Hui and which spoke to the presentation can be found at – <https://www.govt.nz/assets/Documents/OTS/Round-2-updated-presentation.pdf>). Feedback on the proposal was received from hapū, representative groups and uri of Ngāpuhi. This feedback took a variety of forms including presentations, discussions, and questions/answers. Office of Treaty Settlements (OTS) and Te Puni Kōkiri (TPK) staff attended all Round 2 Hui and have summarised the feedback as follows.

Collation of feedback disclaimer

Feedback received outside of the Round 2 Hui (feedback sent to Ministers, OTS, or the Ngāpuhi feedback email address – ngapuhifeedback@justice.govt.nz) and feedback received from hapū hui that were attended by the technical advisors and OTS are not included in this summary of feedback.

Feedback does not account for the opportunities one had to speak, the number of times a speaker spoke to an issue across different hui, and who the speakers were representing. Where a speaker provided views on several different issues, those views have been recorded against each issue. An approximate figure has been placed in brackets alongside each issue raised to indicate the number of people speaking to an issue.

Feedback on the proposal generally:

- ***Some expressed support for the proposal*** for reasons including:
 - concerns over the delays to date (9);
 - the need to do something now to move forward, however noting that the structure/process needs to be correct (18); and
 - confidence in Ngāpuhi leadership and/or Te Rōpū Tūhono to find a way forward and to work through the detail (18).

- ***Some expressed conditional support subject to the provision of more detail*** such as:
 - how hapū kaikōrero will be appointed in the future (4);
 - how hapū rangatiratanga can be achieved (4);
 - how the hapū withdrawal process will work (10);
 - how the mandated authority represents Ngāpuhi in the urban areas (10);
 - clarification of Te Rōpū Tūhono's role (2);

- more detail on how the fisheries settlement assets will transfer (2);
 - how voting and the use of registers will be conducted (5); and
 - how land that was wrongly taken can be returned when settlements only provide for financial compensation (8).
- **Some expressed concern with the process** for reasons including:
 - a failure to have women on Te Rōpū Tūhono or the Technical Advisors group (7);
 - Crown imposed nature of the process (7);
 - lack of legal advice available to hapū (3);
 - lack of notification or incorrect notification (6);
 - inconsistent presentation by Te Rōpū Tūhono (3);
 - hui at inconvenient times or locations (5);
 - lack of resources to attend hui (5);
 - lack of time to consider revised proposal (10);
 - lack of time to make submissions before 26 September (15);
 - no time or process for hui-ā-hapū to discuss the proposal (5); and
 - Minister not attending Round 2 Hui (10).
 - **Some rejected the proposal** for reasons including:
 - the need for hapū to control the process or have their own settlement (22);
 - the submitters' view of He Whakaputanga, Te Tiriti o Waitangi and that hapū did not cede sovereignty (19);
 - the lack of authority of Parliament/Government/Minister to engage (11);
 - hapū tikanga (7);
 - hapū rangatiratanga (19);
 - the failure of TIMA to adopt Maranga Mai (6);
 - a distrust/dislike of TIMA/TRION leadership (14);
 - constitutional change must occur first (8); and
 - a preference to work through Te Kōtahitanga (2).

Feedback on the proposal to have 5 Regional Negotiation Bodies to negotiate cultural redress:

- **Some expressed support** for a 6th region (5).
- **Some expressed a desire** to see additional regions added in the mandate (3).

- **Some expressed a rejection** of the Central Negotiation Body to negotiate commercial redress in favour of the Regional Negotiation Bodies (9).

Feedback on the options for kuia and kaumātua representation:

- **Some supported the status quo** of two over 55 kuia/kaumātua representatives being elected to the Regional Negotiation Bodies and/or Central Negotiation Body (4).
- **Some opposed** any kuia and kaumātua representation (6).
- **Some expressed concern** with the definition of kuia/kaumātua (2).

Feedback on the options for Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representation on the Central Negotiation Body:

- **Some expressed outright rejection of non-hapū Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representatives** for reasons including:
 - rejection of the idea that some Ngāpuhi don't know their hapū (7); and
 - rohe/taiwhenua/hapū should take care of their hapū members irrespective of where they reside (8).
- **Some expressed the view that Tāmaki Makaurau is not “ki waho i te rohe”** as it is within the rohe of Ngāpuhi (4).
- **Some expressed support for options for Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe representatives on the Central Negotiation Body:**
 - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe appointing their own representatives as per the status quo (9);
 - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe appointing their own representatives but having more representatives than the status quo (5);
 - rangatahi representation (4); and
 - Ngāpuhi ki waho i te rohe urban representatives appointed through the hapū kaikōrero process or Regional Negotiation Bodies only (6).

Feedback on the options for Rūnanga representation on the Central Negotiation Body:

- **Some expressed outright rejection of the Rūnanga as a representative** for reasons including:

- the Rūnanga doesn't represent all Ngāpuhi because Ngāpuhi ki Whangaroa are not represented on it (1); and
 - the lack of accountability of the Rūnanga, therefore they do not support the Rūnanga having a seat on the Mandated Authority (5).
- ***Some expressed broad support for the Rūnanga as a representative*** on the Central Negotiation Body (5).